•	, Case 3:08-cv-00735-BTM-JMA D	ocument 1 F	Filed 04/28/2008	Page 1 of 8					
1 2 3 4 5 6	Matthew G. English (SBN 204869) LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW G. ENGLISH 7676 Hazard Center Dr Ste 500 San Diego CA 92108-4508 (619) 725-2725 Attorney for Plaintiff JAMES SCOTT		FILE 2008 APR 23 GLERK US DISTI SOUTHERN DISTRICT	PH 12: 13					
7									
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT CO	OURT						
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
10		8 1		- DTM IMA	y ***				
11	JAMES SCOTT,) Case No.:	'08 CV 073	יייונ ווווט פ					
12	Plaintiff,) COMPLAI) DISCRIMI	NT FOR DISABILI	ITY AL/RETALIATION,					
13) AND AGE	DISCRIMINATIO	VIN					
14	VS.)							
15) JURY TRIA)	AL DEMANDED						
16	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY) ·							
17	Defendants)							
18									
19	Plaintiff JAMES SCOTT complains an	Plaintiff JAMES SCOTT complains and alleges as follows:							
20	<u>jui</u>	JURISDICTION							
21	1. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of								
22	1973, and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967.								
23		<u>VENUE</u>							
24	2. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California as the judicial district in which the								
25	unlawful employment practices occurred and in which relevant employment records are								
26	maintained and administered.								
27	111								
28	///								
	[]								

Complaint - 1

7

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff JAMES SCOTT is, and at all relevant times, was a resident of the State of California, County of San Diego, employed by the Department of Homeland Security as a Criminal Investigator until his termination/removal in March 2007.
- 4. Defendant, MICHAEL CHERTOFF, is the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, and is sued in that capacity as head of the Department of Homeland Security.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff worked for the Federal Protective Service (FPS) for 16 years, primarily as a criminal investigator GS-1811-12. Plaintiff was terminated in March 2007. Formally organized under the General Services Administration (GSA), the FPS is currently, and was at the time of Plaintiff's termination, part of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), Department of Homeland Security (DHS).
- 6. Plaintiff engaged in EEO activity as a witness in or about 1998. Plaintiff also filed pleadings with the U.S. Court of Appeal in or about 2005.
- 7. In the past, Plaintiff has suffered from respiratory ailments (recurring rhinitis or sinusitis) and suffered a work-related upper arm/shoulder injury. Plaintiff filed workers compensation claims regarding these ailments/injuries, all of which have medically resolved. Defendant has at all relevant times regarded Plaintiff as having a disability within the meaning of the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
- 8. Plaintiff is and, at all relevant times hereto, was over 40 years of age. Plaintiff was born November 20, 1954.
- 9. After Plaintiff engaged in prior EEO activity and after Defendant became aware of Plaintiffs physical ailments, Defendant embarked upon a campaign of retaliatory conduct designed to embarrass, humiliate, and violate the rights of Plaintiff, and to deprive Plaintiff of the benefits of his employment with Defendant.
- 10. Defendants campaign of retaliatory conduct targeting Plaintiff increased after Plaintiff confirmed in writing to Defendant that Plaintiff turned 50 years of age.

Filed 04/28/2008

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25

26 27

28

- Defendant made repeated impermissible and unwarranted inquiries into Plaintiff's 11. medical history and made invasive and unnecessary requests for Plaintiff's medical records.
- In 2005, Plaintiff was ordered to submit to medical and psychological examination, 12. surrender his service weapon, and ordered to provide medical information.
- In or about January 2005, Defendant wrongfully removed Plaintiff's law enforcement authority on the basis of his temporary repetitive-motion shoulder injury. Several months later, Plaintiff was fully cleared for duty by Kaiser Permanente/Department of Occupational Medicine, which had ceased all treatment of Plaintiff. Defendant never returned Plaintiff's law enforcement authority.
- In or about April 2005, agency officials attempted to classify Plaintiff as having "mental health issues" in further retaliation and harassment of Plaintiff.
- On or about May 14, 2006, Plaintiff was suspended from duty for fourteen days, purportedly for failing to carry out instructions of a superior.
- Defendant's medical examination requirements are based upon subjective medical standards and target older employees.
- Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment on or about March 5, 2007, because 17. Defendant perceived Plaintiff to be disabled, and/or because of Plaintiff's age, and/or in retaliation for Plaintiff's prior EEO activity.
- Plaintiff timely and properly exhausted his administrative remedies. Plaintiff filed EEO complaints alleging age discrimination, disability discrimination and retaliation on September 15, 2005, September 5, 2006, October 18, 2006, December 5, 2006, January 5, 2007 and March 7, 2007, (DHS Case Nos. IC-05-W391, HS-06-ICE-002644). More than 180 days have elapsed since Plaintiff initiated EEO proceedings, permitting him to bring this action in federal court. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-16(c).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION (VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973)

Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, 19. the allegations contained in each and every preceding paragraph of this complaint.

Filed 04/23/2008

20.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

27

28

Rehabilitation Act of 1973 which prohibits discrimination in employment on the basis of disability.

Plaintiff was at all material times a federal employee covered by the Vocational

- 21. Defendant is, and at all material times was, an employer within the meaning of Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and, as such, is barred from discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of disability.
- 22. Defendant has at all relevant times regarded Plaintiff as having a disability within the meaning of the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
- 23. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of disability in violation of Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973 by engaging in a continuing course of conduct which has included, but is not limited to, at least some of the acts alleged above, including terminating Plaintiff because Defendant perceived Plaintiff as disabled.
- As a proximate result of Defendant's discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, and other employment benefits and has suffered, and continues to suffer, embarrassment, humiliation and mental anguish, all to his damage in an amount according to proof.
- 25. As a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to his attorneys' fees and costs of suit as provided by the Vocational Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as hereinafter provided.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

RETALIATION

- Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, 26. the allegations contained in each and every preceding paragraph of this complaint.
- 27. By virtue of the conduct set forth above, plaintiff was subjected to unlawful retaliatory conduct which commenced after having engaged in protected activity and from which a retaliatory motive can be inferred.
 - WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as hereinafter provided.

5

10

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25 26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

AGE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT OF 1967

- 28. Plaintiff hereby realleges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the allegations contained in each and every preceding paragraph of this complaint.
- 29. Plaintiff was at all times material hereto an employee covered by the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq., prohibiting discrimination in employment on the basis of age.
- 30. Defendant is, and at all times material hereto was, an employer within the meaning of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq., and, as such, barred from discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of age.
- 31. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of age in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq., by engaging in a course of conduct which included, but is not limited to, at least some of the acts set forth above.
- 32. As a proximate result of Defendant's discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff suffered losses in earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation and other employment benefits. Plaintiff is entitled to an amount of liquidated damages, equal to the amount of back pay and other economic benefits, due to the willfulness of Defendants' violation of Plaintiff's rights under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act.
- 33. As a result of Defendant's discriminatory acts as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit as provided by 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), 626(b).
 - WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as hereinafter provided.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as follows:

- 1. For damages according to proof including loss of earnings, deferred compensation and other employment benefits;
- 2. For compensatory damages for losses resulting from humiliation, mental anguish, harm to reputation and emotional distress according to proof;

2 3 4

1

5

6

- 7 8
- 9
- 11

10

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

28

27

- other employee benefits at the prevailing rates;
- For reinstatement of Plaintiff to the position from which he was wrongfully 4. terminated or a comparable position in Defendant's organization and all benefits attendant thereto that would have been afforded Plaintiff but for said wrongful conduct;
- That Defendant, his agents, successors, employees and those acting in concert, be 5. enjoined permanently from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, usages and customs set forth herein;
- For costs of suit incurred herein including attorney's fees; 6.
- For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 7.

LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW G. ENGLISH

By:

Matthew G. English Attorney for Plaintiff

IAMES SCOTT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in the above action.

LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW G. ENGLISH

DATED: April 21, 2008

DATED: April 21, 2008

By:

Matthew G. English Attorney for Plaintiff

IAMES SCOTT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

150117 - MS

April 23, 2008 12:12:02

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08-CV-0735 CIVIL FILING

Judge..: BARRY T MOSKOWITZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC005370

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: SCOTT VS. CHERTOFF

CIVIL FILING

SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS AMES SCOTT			DEFENDANTS	9 L G.				
			MICHAEL CHEF HOMELAND SE	MICHAEL CHERTOFF, SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				
(b)	County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego			County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant	Chart Duga .		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LANG	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
	,				Attorneys (If Known)			
` '	•	Address, and Telephone Number		1				
		G. English, 7676 Haz 92108-4508; (619) 72		Office of the 0.5.	VITOLII SOB CA	0735 BTM JMA		
		ICTION (Place an "X" in		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES			
ט וכם	.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State				
⊠ 2 U	.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship)	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
		(malcate Cm2ensmp	or t actes in nom my	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	0606		
IV. N	ATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box On	у)		and the state of t	Health Other bearing the contract of the contr		
		PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUF	RY 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 N ☐ 130 N	Anrine Ailler Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury	- ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ce ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 150 R	Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liabilit	y 3630 Liquor Laws	PEROPERTYRIGHTS			
	Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Person Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations		
	Recovery of Defaulted student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPEI	RTY 660 Occupational Safety/Health	840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
(1	Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other	IIIUSOGIAIESEGURITAYZZZZZZ	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/		
	Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange		
	Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damag 385 Property Damag		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
☐ 195 C	Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability		☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
MARIAN R	Franchise REAL!PROPERTY!!!!!!!!!	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS		NS 🗓 🗖 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	■ ■ 892 Economic Stabilization Act		
	Land Condemnation Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence 	ate 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
☐ 230 F	Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
	Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	HALLEMMIGRATION		 900Appeal of Fee Determination 		
290 A	All Other Real Property		☐ 540 Mandamus & C ☐ 550 Civil Rights	Other 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice		
		446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights				☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
		440 Other Civil Rights		Actions				
	Original 🗍 2 R			1 4 Kemstated of 1 3 anoth	ferred from 6 Multidis			
	Proceeding S		Appellate Court	(spec	ify) Engano	" Judgment		
VI. (CAUSE OF ACT	ON Brief description of ca	nse.	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction f 1973, Age Discrimination	in Employment Act of	of 1967		
		Discrimination (age/perceived	disability); Retaliation.	CUECK VES only	y if demanded in complaint:		
	REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	N		ON DEMAND \$	JURY DEMANI			
VIII.	. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE	<u></u>		SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RECORD	X			
04/	21/2008		N	tother Ohy				
	OFFICE USE ONLY							
	15011	AMOUNT #350	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. J	UDGE		
REC	MS	AMOUNI JA J J J	AFFLINGIFF					

ىملى